## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL MINUTES—GENERAL

| Case No. EDCV 24-2457-KK-SPx  |   |  |   |   | Date:  | January 7, 2025  |  |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Title: Zelection Kitchen CO., LTD. v. XLJ Imports Inc.  |   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| Presen  | ıt: The H   | Ionorable  | KENLY KIYA KATO   | ), UNITED STATI   | ES DIS   | I'RICT JUDGE   |  |
| Noe Ponce   |   |  |   |   | Not Reported   |  |  |
| Deputy Clerk  |   |  |   |   | Court Reporter   |  |  |
| Attorney(s) Present for Plaintiff(s):   |   |  |   | Attorney  | Attorney(s) Present for Defendant(s):  |  |  |
| None Present  |   |  |   | None Present  |  |  |  |
| Procee  | edings:   | `  | ambers) Order to Show<br>ure to Prosecute   | v Cause Why Action  | on Shou  | ald Not Be Dismissed   |  |
| See FE service, require the original FED. Right judgment remain.  | ons and one. R. CIV., or 60 ded responding please. CIV. P. ent shall ing defer                                | complaint V. P. 4(m). lays if the conse to an arading or w 15(a)(3). | mended pleading must b<br>ithin 14 days after service<br>Finally, pursuant to the<br>b later than 14 days after<br>2) resolution of all claim | ndant within 90 day<br>must answer the co<br>States. <u>See</u> FED. R.<br>be made within the<br>ce of the amended p<br>Court's Civil Standing<br>the later of (1) entr | es after to<br>mplaint<br>CIV. P.<br>time ren<br>bleading<br>ng Orde<br>y of def | the complaint is filed. within 21 days after 12(a). In addition, "any naining to respond to , whichever is later." er, "motions for default fault against the last |  |
| In the present case, it appears that one or more of these time periods has no one or more defendant(s). Specifically: |   |  |   |   |  | s has not been met as to   |  |
|   | Proof o   | of service o   | of the summons and con  | nplaint   |  |  |  |
|   | Answer by the defendant or an application for entry of default pursuant to Federal Rule Civil Procedure 55(a) |  |   |   |  | ant to Federal Rule of   |  |
| $\boxtimes$   |   |  | t judgment set for heari<br>ding Order  | ng in accordance wi   | th the I   | ocal Rules and the   |  |

Accordingly, the Court, on its own motion, orders plaintiff(s) to show cause in writing **no** later than seven days from the date of this Order why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution as to those defendant(s).

It is plaintiff(s)' responsibility to respond promptly to all Orders and to prosecute the action diligently, including filing proofs of service and stipulations extending time to respond. If necessary, plaintiff(s) must also pursue Rule 55 remedies promptly upon the default of any defendant. All stipulations affecting the progress of the case must be approved by this Court. See L.R. 7-1.

No oral argument of this matter will be heard unless ordered by the Court. The Order will stand submitted upon the filing of a written response.

Plaintiff(s) are expressly warned that failure to timely file a response to this Order will result in this action being dismissed without prejudice as to one or more defendant(s) for failure to prosecute and comply with court orders. See FED. R. CIV. P. 41(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.